

# Mordialloc Freeway Community Reference Group Meeting Notes



<b>Meeting:</b>	<b>Mordialloc Freeway - Community Reference Group (CRG)</b>
<b>Location:</b>	Mordialloc Freeway Info Hub – 358 Boundary Road, Dingley Village
<b>Date and Time:</b>	Thursday, 30 May – 6:30pm – 8:30pm
<b>Attendees:</b>	<p>CRG Members (Leaving): Paul Cameron, Scott Fothergill, Tim Moran, Steve Quenette</p> <p>CRG Members (New): Margaret Hunter, Phil Newman, Jon Gerrard, John Sunter, Peter Wain, Ken Carney, Michael Poulakakis, Margeaux Hawkins, Terri Bateman</p> <p>MRPV Representatives: Brendan Pauwels, Daniel Kollmorgen, Luke Minton</p> <p>McConnell Dowell / Decmil (Joint Venture): Howard Humffray, Tom Foley, Chris Di Dimenico, Nicole Ekert, Hayley Blackford</p> <p>Council: Ross Gregory (City of Kingston), Lucas Sikiotis (City of Greater Dandenong)</p>
<b>Apologies:</b>	<p>CRG Members: Mark Hardman, Judith Sise, Lucinda Bertram and Shanthi Pillai</p> <p>MPs: Tim Richardson</p>
<b>Chair / Secretary:</b>	<p>Chair: Ben Neil, Capire Consulting</p> <p>Secretary: Melissa Yee, Capire Consulting</p>
<b>Meeting Contact:</b>	Luke Minton – 1800 105 105

## Actions from last meeting – Meeting #6

#	Action
1	<p><b>Feedback requested on guidelines/process for sharing information:</b> Complete</p> <p><b>Produce graphics for fauna crossing to be shared with the community:</b> MRPV will be able to share these at the next CRG meeting</p> <p><b>Produce EES approval and high-level construction timeline:</b> Complete</p>

## Meeting #7 Agenda Items:

#	Description
2	<p><b>Introduction</b></p>
3	<p><b>CRG – Evaluation Report:</b></p> <p><b>Summary</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ben summarised the three objectives that were set during first meeting and other findings of the evaluation submissions from the outgoing CRG members. The feedback was generally very positive when CRG members reflected on the experience.</li> <li>• Incoming CRG members commented that this report and its findings provided confidence of process, representation of a diversity of views, that views have been listened to and non-negotiables have been clearly outlined.</li> <li>• The project team confirmed that the terms of reference for the CRG outline membership term of 12 months. Members can reapply for the next the next term should they choose.</li> </ul>
4	<p><b>Project update</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• MRPV confirmed that – pending the outcome of the EES process – the project is currently scheduled to be completed in late-2021.</li> <li>• The project is currently working across three different phases. MRPV is seeking relevant planning and environmental approvals, procurement, and working through land acquisition process for land needed for the project.</li> </ul> <p><b>Planning and Environmental Approvals</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The independent Environment Effects Statement (EES) Inquiry and Advisory Committee (IAC) held the hearings in late-Feb and March and their report is now with the State Minister for Planning.</li> <li>• MRPV anticipates that the Minister will make his decision on the recommendations of the IAC in the next two or three weeks.</li> <li>• After this, the proposal will be assessed by the Federal Department of Environment with a focus on migratory birds and the Ramsar convention on the Edithvale Seaford wetlands.</li> <li>• Following this, the decision will be passed back down to the Minister for Planning informing the terms of the planning scheme amendment and approval conditions.</li> <li>• The EES report which was handed to the Minister has not been viewed by the MRPV project team.</li> <li>• MRPV commitments through Environmental Performance Requirements (EPRs) on the final day of the Hearings were a result of feedback on the EES.</li> <li>• It is anticipated that the Minister will adopt much of this feedback.</li> </ul> <p><b>Procurement</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A contract cannot be awarded because there are no planning and environmental approvals yet.</li> <li>• There is still a possibility that the project may not proceed, but after the extensive work put in by MRPV, the team feel that the project will be approved by the Minister for Planning.</li> <li>• However, this is an independent process and the outcome will not be known until the process has been completed. MRPV have gone into an early procurement process based on the initial findings to ensure that the McConnell Dowell Decmil joint venture (the JV) is ready to commence work when the decision has been made. MRPV is anticipating that the JV would commence construction in late 2019.</li> <li>• MRPV is doing further design work, which could be included in future agendas for the CRG.</li> <li>• MRPV are currently working with the JV around rules for engagement. During a partnership and visioning day, three themes came out: Connectedness, Being Neighbourly and Being Personable.</li> </ul>

#	Description
	<p><b>Land acquisition phase</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Two-thirds of land is already owned by the State Government, but some remaining land needs to be acquired through a compulsory acquisition process. The Enviromix site will be acquired which will reduce odour in area. No household residences need to be acquired for the project.</li> </ul>
5	<p><b>McConnell Dowell &amp; Decmil Joint Venture Presentation</b></p> <p>Summary</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project planned for commencement October 2019 and completion in December 2021.</li> <li>Construction will aim to have as minimal an impact on local traffic as possible.</li> <li>Utilities are primarily at intersections and may need to be moved as required, including a large gas pipeline. The State will bear the cost of this.</li> <li>The JV are exploring opportunities to use the 'purple pipe' (recycled water) for compaction and dust suppression needs rather than potable water. South East Water are putting together a business case for a 'purple pipe' but may not meet the timelines of the project.</li> <li>The project will channel excess water into dams to use water on site.</li> <li>Trucks moving fill have a contractual requirement to minimise impacts on local roads so have considered movements and time of movement. This is something that could be addressed at a future CRG meeting.</li> <li>The JV is looking to establish partnerships to provide benefits back to the local community</li> </ul>
6	<p><b>Open Discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The JV has committed to managing traffic movements, no-go zones, work sites and minimising disruptions overall. Discussion of this could be considered as part of future meetings.</li> <li>'Hooping' behaviour near Tootal Road has been a result of the Dingley Bypass project. It has become a 'rat-run' from a 'sleepy village'. Implementation planning and changes to Google Maps need to be considered. There was discussion from the CRG about dissuading the upgrade of Tootal Road to detract from more of this.</li> <li>The planning process will consider intersection upgrades needed.</li> <li>MRPV feel confident about quality of their submission to the EES panel and their proposed response to the issues, however they anticipate that the Minister's recommendations may result in some changes. Some changes have already been made based on the EES panel process.</li> </ul>
	<p><b>Next Meeting - Thursday, 25 July 2019</b></p>

#### Actions for – Meeting #7

#	Action	Owner	Due	Status
1	Produce graphics for fauna crossing to be shared with the community	MRPV	Next meeting	In progress
2	Project overview and history	MRPV	Next meeting	In progress
3	Minutes and actions of the EES panel to be shared	MRPV	With Minutes	Complete